Free Enterprise Society/Truth Attack Voter Guide For California November 3rd, 2020

Propositions

There's a lot of issues in front of California voters this year and as usual, they're filled with deceptive language designed to trick the voter. DON'T FALL FOR IT! Use this guide to help you break down the issues and learn what each ballot proposition REALLY does this year.

PROPOSITION 14: Funding for stem cell research –

Vote NO

We do not support long term debt to fund this research. This type of research has little or no oversight and no reason to think that will improve. California is broke now and who is expected to pay for this but you. The federal government now does stem cell research making this redundant.

PROPOSITION 15: Increase commercial property taxes for education funding.

Vote NO

(This repeals part of Proposition 13)

This is a direct attack on Proposition 13. Proposition 15 would repeal part of Prop. 13 and require reassessment to market value of business properties. Those higher costs are passed on to consumers. Proposition 15 would raise prices, increase the cost of living and put countless jobs at risk as companies cut back or leave the state. This measure would weaken Proposition 13, and we can guess why. They could come after homeowners next. Protect Prop. 13.

PROPOSITION 16: Repeal Prop 209 to allow affirmative action

Vote NO

We do not support discrimination in any form. Repeals 1996's Proposition 209, which banned the government and public institutions (like schools) from discriminating or giving preferential treatment based on sex, race, ethnicity or nationality. Affirmative action is by definition discriminatory. A huge step backward in equality.

PROPOSITION 17: Allows parolees the right to vote.

Do not have a recommendation, LEANING NO.

Amends the constitution to allow those on parole for a felony conviction to vote in elections. Current California law prevents people from voting if they're imprisoned or on parole for a felony crime. Prop 17 only amends the latter half of that law. I cannot think of a good reason to change this. I usually will vote NO when unsure.

PROPOSITION 18: Grant some 17-year-olds right to vote in primaries.

Vote NO

Would amend the state constitution to allow 17-year-olds who will be 18 by the time of the general election to vote in primary or special elections that precede them. California, unlike other states, tax increases must go on the ballot for voter approval. These proposed tax increases are frequently on primary election ballots. Proposition 18 would allow high school students to vote on tax increases. This is unwise. The voting age in California should not be changed. This would only benefit the progressive/marxist. As I get older I think voting age should go up, not down. 25 or 30 maybe.

PROPOSITION 19: Changes certain property tax rules.

(This is a bait and switch!!)

Vote NO

At first I was in favor of this from what I have heard. It looked to be a tax decrease which I am always in favor of. But this is a bait and switch and actually cost Californians more. This takes away important taxpayer protections that have been enshrined in the State Constitution since 1986. Proposition 58, which received 76% of the vote, allows parents to transfer a home and limited other property to their children without an increase in property taxes. Under current law a person can take a exemption one time upon home change as well. Many would lose their inheritance due to property tax increases if this passes.

PROPOSITION 20: Reclassifies certain crimes and expands DNA collection.

Do not have a recommendation. Leaning NO.

Allows certain crimes to actually be tried as felony cases again, like shoplifting – taking some teeth out of recently passed pro – criminal ballot initiatives. I am in favor of having some flexibility in prosecutions, but I'm afraid that this will result in over sentencing non-violent crimes. Many prosecutors just don't seem to use common sense.

PROPOSITION 21: Rent control overhaul

Vote NO NO NO!

Allows local jurisdictions to put rent control in place for all kinds of housing, including single family homes, condos and town homes. More socialism. The solution for affordable housing is more housing. California's strict building codes and high taxes discourage more construction already. Passage will reduce incentives to building badly needed units to remedy California's housing shortage.

PROPOSITION 22: Classifies rideshare and delivery drivers as contract workers

Vote YES

We support liberty and freedom, this Proposition allows people to freelance for extra income without the burden of "employment" which they DO NOT WANT. I have talked with some Uber drivers and they are in favor of the proposition. More government involvement never seems to help. Government has more control over employees. I also looked at some of the people opposed to it such as Democrats Joe Biden, Sen. Kamala Harris and Sen. Elizabeth Warren. These three people are socialist/Marxists and want to control everyone.

PROPOSITION 23: Dialysis clinic requirements

Vote NO

Requires dialysis clinics to have at least one physician present while patients are being treated (except where there's a shortage), to report patient infection data to the state and to get consent from the state before closing. The measure also bans clinics from discriminating on the basis of who is paying for a patient's care. This increases regulations will most certainly drive up cost drive patients. Many clinics will likely close as a result and make getting treatment more inconvenient as there will be less locations to receive treatment.

PROPOSITION 24: Consumer data privacy protections.

Vote NO

As with many Propositions, these can be misleading. This may sound good but the big tech companies had major input. Creating a new State agency which we can never get rid of is not a good idea. I think the big companies will have an advantage over small companies trying to develop a customer list. It contains several loopholes and provisions that probably will actually weaken consumer protections.

PROPOSITION 25: Eliminate the cash bail system, or go back to it.

Vote NO

A no Vote overturns the Senate Bill 10 and reestablishes the bail system. SB10 is a pro criminal bill which eliminated the cash bail system in California and replaced it with an algorithmic risk assessment method that determines who gets released from jail. While I have problems with the bail system, it seems clear that this Proposition will make it unsafe in California. If other states such as NEW York are any indication, their courts were letting rioters and looter out of jail only hours after arrest.



President of the United States

Donald Trump

I have included the representative picks from The Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association PAC for the rest of the races

The Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association PAC has endorsed these candidates for the November 3, 2020, Statewide General Election:

STATE ASSEMBLY

Megan Dahle Charles W. Cole
Assembly District 1 Assembly District 37

James Gallagher Assembly District 3

Assembly District 26

Frank Bigelow Suzette Martinez Valladares
Assembly District 5 Lucie Lapointe Volotzky
(Dual Endorsement)

Kevin Kiley Assembly District 38
Assembly District 6

Cathy Cook Ricardo Benitez

Assembly District 39

Assembly District 8

Devon Mathis Andrew Kotyuk
Assembly District 42

Vince Fong Jeffi Girgenti
Assembly District 45

Assembly District 45
Assembly District 34

Burton Brink
Jordan Cunningham Assembly District 49

Assembly District 49
Assembly District 35

Toni Holle
Tom Lackey Assembly District 52

Assembly District 36

Assembly District 36

Phillip Chen Janet Nguyen

Assembly District 55 Assembly District 72

Chris Raahauge Laurie Davies

Assembly District 60 Assembly District 73

Ali Mazarei Diane Dixon

Assembly District 61 Assembly District 74

Kelly Seyarto Melanie Burkholder Assembly District 67 Assembly District 76

June Yang Cutter

Steven Choi Assembly District 77

Randy Voepel

Assembly District 71

Assembly District 68

STATE SENATE

Brian Dahle Kathleen Hazelton
Senate District 1 Senate District 25

Jim RidenourHouman SalemSenate District 5Senate District 27

Alexander Glew Ling Ling Chang Senate District 13 Senate District 29

Vicki Nohrden John Moorlach Senate District 17 Senate District 37

Scott Wilk Linda Blankenship Senate District 21 Senate District 39

Rosilicie Ochoa Bogh Senate District 23

U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Tamika Hamilton

U.S. Congressional District 3

Tom McClintock

U.S. Congressional District 4

Chris Bish

U.S. Congressional District 6

Buzz Patterson

U.S. Congressional District 7

Jay Obernolte

U.S. Congressional District 8

Ted Howze

U.S. Congressional District 10

Alison Hayden

U.S. Congressional District 15

David G. Valadao

U.S. Congressional District 21

Devin G. Nunes

U.S. Congressional District 22

Kevin McCarthy

U.S. Congressional District 23

Andy Caldwell

U.S. Congressional District 24

Ronda Baldwin-Kennedy

U.S. Congressional District 26

Johnny J. Nalbandian

U.S. Congressional District 27

Eric Early

U.S. Congressional District 28

Agnes Gibboney

U.S. Congressional District 31

James Bradley

U.S. Congressional District 33

Mike Cargile

U.S. Congressional District 35

Young Kim

U.S. Congressional District 39

Greg Raths

U.S. Congressional District 45

Michelle Steel

U.S. Congressional District 48

Brian Maryott

U.S. Congressional District 49

Darrell Issa

U.S. Congressional District 50

Without defending the republican party, voting for republicans is a better choice than democrat or not voting. Not voting is a half of a vote for a democrat. The democrats are off of the rails about socialism (Marxism) and wanting to destroy the US Constitution with insane border policies etc.