

Free Enterprise Society/Truth Attack Voter Guide For California November 6th, 2018

Propositions

There's a lot of issues in front of California voters this year and as usual, they're filled with deceptive language designed to trick the voter. DON'T FALL FOR IT! Use this guide to help you break down the issues and learn what each ballot proposition REALLY does this year.

Proposition 1 - Authorizes bonds to fund specified housing assistance programs -

Authorizes \$4 billion in general obligation bonds for existing affordable housing programs for low-income residents, veterans, farmworkers, manufactured and mobile homes, infill, and transit-oriented housing. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about \$170 million annually over the next 35 years.

VOTE NO

More socialism. The solution for affordable housing is more housing. California's strict building codes and high taxes discourage more construction. More borrowing? Truth in Accounting just reported that California's long-term debt obligations currently stand at an incredible \$270 BILLION! California has not used the funds on all previous bonds for their intended purpose.

Proposition 2 - Authorizes bonds to fund existing housing program for individuals with mental illness.

Amends Mental Health Services Act to fund No Place Like Home Program, which finances housing for individuals with mental illness. Ratifies existing law establishing the No Place Like Home Program. Fiscal Impact: Allows the state to use up to \$140 million per year of county mental health funds to repay up to \$2 billion in bonds. These bonds would fund housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.

VOTE NO

Again with the spending and bonds and more debt.

Proposition 3 - Authorizes bonds to fund projects for water supply and quality, watershed, fish, wildlife, water conveyance, and groundwater sustainability and storage

Authorizes \$8.877 billion in state general obligation bonds for various infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging \$430 million per year over 40 years. Local government savings for water-related projects, likely averaging a couple hundred million dollars annually over the next few decades.

VOTE NO

Californians have passed major water project propositions before to force this do nothing governor to act. Yet Governor Brown has yet to spend much on those water project even though he collected the money. Plus more borrowing and debt. My opinion is that if John Cox is elected as governor he will make that happen if the legislature does not find a way to stop him.

Proposition 4 - Authorizes bonds funding construction at hospitals providing children's health care.

Authorizes \$1.5 billion in bonds, to be repaid from state's General Fund, to fund grants for construction, expansion, renovation, and equipping of qualifying children's hospitals. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about \$80 million annually over the next 35 years.

VOTE NO

Higher debt and will probably need more taxes. We can't afford these things!

Proposition 5 - Changes requirements for certain property owners to transfer their property tax base to replacement property

Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over \$100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about \$1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

VOTE YES

When a elderly person's family grows up and moves out they want to downsize there home and the property tax hit cost more than staying. I am in favor of tax savings. This may help the elderly to move around and ease the housing crunch.

Proposition 6 - Eliminates certain road repair and transportation funding, requires certain fuel taxes and vehicle fees be approved by the electorate

Repeals a 2017 transportation law's taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of \$5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs. California voters have given the liberals every tax increase they wanted for years with little to show for it, except more request for more of your money. In this case they did not even ask. Caltrans, the agency responsible for road repairs is not efficient. They waste a lot of the money and needs to tighten their budget.

VOTE YES YES YES YES YES YES

That's six yes's for the gas and car tax repeal.

Proposition 7 - Conforms California Daylight Saving Time to Federal Law. Allows Legislature to change Daylight Saving Time period

Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

Do not have a recommendation

Proposition 8 - Regulates amounts outpatient kidney dialysis clinics charge for dialysis treatment

Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

VOTE NO

Generally government regulations raise cost not lower it.

Proposition 9 - Breaking California up into three states

This ballot measure was kicked off the ballot by a judge earlier this year. So... vote... nothing on this?

Proposition 10 - Expands local governments' authority to enact rent control on residential property

Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.

VOTE NO

Rent control isn't a solution to the housing crunch. Allowing local governments to dictate how much landlords can charge for rent will do absolutely nothing to solve the homeless crisis. This will make it less desirable to build more homes or apartments. More properties reduce the housing crunch.

Proposition 11 - Requires private-sector emergency ambulance employees remain on-call during work breaks. Eliminates certain employer liability

Law entitling hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

Do not have a recommendation

Proposition 12 - Establishes new standards for confinement of specified farm animals, bans sale of noncomplying products

Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to \$10 million annually to enforce the measure.

VOTE NO

California passed propositions before to for this purpose. The farms animals in California are

probably the best treated in the world already. It drives up cost of farm products. We need less government regulations not more. Huge fines to farmers and groceries and a new group of unelected workers watching framers and grocery stores.

State Wide Races

For Governor Vote FOR John Cox

As bad as it has been with Jerry Brown, Gavin Newsom is worse.

For Attorney General Vote FOR Judge Steven Bailey

I mean, with a guy like Xavier Becerra as his opponent, what else is there to say?

For Lieutenant Governor Vote FOR Eleni Kounalakis

For Insurance Commissioner Vote FOR Steve Poizner

For Secretary of State Vote FOR Mark Meuser

Alex Padilla is just another Kevin de Leon/Xavier Becerra.

For Controller Vote FOR Konstantinos Roditis

For State Treasurer Vote FOR Greg Conlon

For Board of Equalization District 4: Vote FOR Joel Anderson

Anderson fought the good fight against California's sanctuary state policies.

For Superintendent of Public Instruction Vote FOR Marshall Tuck

Tuck is a great choice for voters in California because he's not controlled by the teacher's unions. We need someone in that office who won't kowtow to special interest groups.

Federal Races

California U.S. House District 4 Vote FOR Tom McClintock, Republican

He is a strong Constitutionalist.

Those not mentioned

Without defending the republican party, voting for republicans is a better choice than democrat or not voting. Not voting is a half of a vote for a democrat. The democrats are off of the rails about socialism (Marxism) and wanting to destroy the US Constitution with insane border policies etc.